

## REMARKS

### **I. Status of the Claims**

Claims 1-143 were filed with the original application. Claims 13 and 18-143 were withdrawn pursuant to a restriction requirement/election of species. Claims 18-143 are hereby canceled. Claims 1-12 and 14-17 stand rejected under 35 U.S.C. §112, first paragraph, as allegedly lacking an enabling disclosure. The specific grounds for rejection, and applicants' response thereto, are set out in detail below.

Applicants request that, upon reconsideration and withdrawal of the only pending rejection, that the subject matter of claim 13 be rejoined, searched and examined.

### **II. Objection to the Specification**

The examiner notes that one of the Examples, Example 3, is apparently misnumbered. An amendment correcting this error has been provided.

### **III. Rejection Under 35 U.S.C. §112, First Paragraph**

Claims 18-143 are hereby canceled. Claims 1-12 and 14-17 stand rejected under 35 U.S.C. §112, first paragraph, as allegedly lacking an enabling disclosure. Applicants traverse, but in the interest of advancing the prosecution, the claims have been amended to reflect subject matter the examiner has indicated as enabled. Reconsideration and withdrawal of the rejection is therefore requested.

IV. **Conclusion**

In light of the foregoing, applicants respectfully submit that all claims are in condition for allowance, and an early notification to that effect is earnestly solicited. Should the examiner have any questions regarding this response, a telephone call to the undersigned is invited.

Respectfully submitted,



Steven L. Highlander  
Reg. No. 37,642  
Attorney for Applicants

FULBRIGHT & JAWORSKI L.L.P.  
600 Congress Avenue, Suite 2400  
Austin, Texas 78701  
(512) 474-5201

Date: March 24, 2005